


REMARKS

Upon entry of the foregoing amendment, Claims 1, 4, 6 and 7 are pending in this application. The Examiner rejected Claims 1-4 and 7 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) and objected to Claim 5 for the lack of antecedent basis. The Applicant has amended Claim 1 to include the subject matter of Claims 3 and 5, thereby providing the antecedent basis for "the third cam" which was objected to in relation to Claim 5. Claims 2, 3 and 5 have been cancelled without prejudice. Claim 6 has been amended to depend from Claim 1 rather than cancelled Claim 5. Since independent Claim 1 now contains the subject matter to which no rejection was raised, Claim 1 and Claims 4, 6 and 7 dependent therefrom should now be in condition for allowance.

CONCLUSION

The foregoing is submitted as a complete response to the Office Action identified above. This application should now be in condition for allowance, and the Applicant solicits a notice to that effect. If there are any issues that can be addressed by telephone, the Examiner is asked to contact the undersigned at 404.685.6799.

Respectfully submitted,



By: Brenda O. Holmes

Reg. No. 40,339

KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP
1100 Peachtree Street, Suite 2800
Atlanta, Georgia 30309-4530
(404) 815-6500
Attorney Docket No.: 44471/260840 (13700)